



**Collision Drive 1** 

15 April – 21 May 2019 Paintings by Steven Rendall and Benet Spencer

Foreword	4
by Geraint Evans	
Rendall and Spencer's Collision Drive by Phil King	8
Biographies	28
Colophon	32

## Foreword by Geraint Evans

The sliding doors at the centre of Benet Spencer's *Modern Interior* series create an interface between inside and outside. The near proximity of the garden to the domestic interior and the open plan composition of the space reminds us of the influence of Japanese *sukiya-zukuri* design on Modernist architects such as Frank Lloyd Wright and Walter Gropius. In *Modern Interior II* (2019) the door seems to float and glow like a computer screen or tablet, suggestive of a virtual interface.

Spencer works on unprimed canvas, using thin veils of paint, which has the effect of suppressing the narratives suggested by the painting's imagery, calling the viewer's attention instead to the process of painting itself. And the interior/exterior dynamic in Spencer's paintings extends beyond the edge of the canvas as the multiple geometric patterns and structures depicted within the paintings find echoes in flat areas of colour applied to the walls of the gallery within Wimbledon College of Arts' original 1930's entrance hall.

*Collision Drive 1* is the first in a series of exhibitions in which Benet Spencer and Steven Rendall contemplate the relationship between collage and painting, the painting process as an active tool for investigation and painting in its expanded forms.

Steven Rendall presents montages of accumulated visual information – images from auction catalogues – artworks and artefacts, spliced and repurposed through preparatory collages and subsequently, through large painterly works on unstretched canvas.

The work recalls Robert Rauschenberg's Silkscreen Paintings, described by Archim Hochdörfer as "a programmatic confrontation between traditional, expressive painting and the surge of media imagery" <sup>1</sup>. In his essay, *Surface, Image, Reception: Painting in a Digital Age*, Alex Bacon cites Leo Steinberg's thesis on the emergence of the flatbed picture plane in the work of artists such as Rauschenberg, which he says makes a "symbolic allusion to hard surfaces such as table tops, studio floors, charts, bulletin boards – any receptor surface on which objects are scattered, on which data is entered, on which information may be received, printed, impressed...the painted surface is no longer the analogue of a visual experience of nature but of operational processes" <sup>2</sup>.

Rendall's paintings assert their object materiality through the canvasses' purposefully uneven edges and integrated fixings and, at times, through their mode of display – placed, for example, at 90-degree angles to one another in the corners of the gallery space or hung loosely on the walls.

A further iteration of *Collision Drive* at the RMIT Project Space, Melbourne, Australia later this year, will see the exhibition expand to include the work of a number of other artists with similar concerns from both the UK and Australia, including Stephania Leigh, Andrea Medjesi-Jones, Laurence Noga, Bronte Webster and Hiroe Komai.

<sup>1.</sup> Hochdörfer, A (2015) *How the World Came In in Painting 2.0: Expression in the Information Age*, Prestel

<sup>2.</sup> Steinberg, L in Bacon, A (2016) *Surface, Image, Reception: Painting in a Digital Age*, rhizome.org 24.05.16



# Rendell and Spencer's Collision Drive by Phil King

There is an esoteric word: *deterritorialization*. Coined in France in the early 1970s\* it means a kind of inevitable outlandishness. Inevitable because it also has a fatalistic soupcon of everything getting worse. It indicated the trajectory of the endless loss of quality of life implicit in economies organised around accumulating vast quantities of money. Capturing the nature of such abstraction, a kind of anti-nature, the word concept had an opposite - reterritorialization - a countermovement whose force is to try and create artificial qualities and natures - often of a nostalgic kind - on the basis of the abstracted ungrounded life of money and its circulation. Investing in property might be a clear example of trying to ground currency quicksilver. Planting a flag to claim, or rather invent, artificial territory in the midst of a generalised, catastrophic drift away from liveable lives - and yet turning homes themselves into a moveable feast.

On a global scale, and the show *Collision Drive* is nothing if not global in intent, we can perceive the history of Great Britain and its former colonies within this necessarily abstract dynamic and I would suggest that the paintings of Benet Spencer, with his 'architectures' and of Steven Rendall, with his 'populations' and screens, give us a shared, disjunctive, ungrounded vista that spans 'old' and 'new' continents. Both territorial inventions giving birth to artificial Imperial Nostalgia in the one case and fantasies of a fresh New World and life on the other, one that strip mines anything that went before – with both situations becoming gnarled up in each other free of actual concrete reality and life. (After all Australia was there, and populated, long before any flags were planted on it). Looking at the paintings of these artists together, one from Melbourne the other from 'the old country', is an opportunity to witness the creation of a particular form, one they title *Collision Drive* – the nature of this form is a kind of abstract internationalism of a new kind, connected perhaps to the artist Nick Fudge's onscreen *Reality Drive*, or the circuit paintings of Peter Halley, a shared general momentum free of nostalgic reclamation of lost territories while caught up in the 'starting from zero' programming that is the overarching paradigm of the world that we all try and live on.

As working painters however, they, to a large extent, display the results of their own habits for us; paintings after all can be seen as evidence of particular habitats, evidence of the creation of particular horizons through forces of habit and repetition. Put the identities, or bodies of work, manifest by these habits together and what we have is indeed a kind of collision, a crashing synthesis of different worlds, in this case the nature of a mass population as faced by Rendall is jammed with the sense of a continually developing architectural sensibility as constructed by Spencer - both worlds cut off from the old territories they were actually painted in in order to join, potentially inhabit, and provide some kind of roof for, a new place. But this new place actually has no place - at best it is a strange kind of high level abstraction between, and outlined by, our artists' far away, and different, horizons. The sun sets within utterly different time zones in this abstract unity. But my sense is that impossible embodiment can and will happen. I feel, as with all good art, part of the experiment.



Looking at Rendall's paintings it is the sense of homeless population that strikes me first. Psychomachy (2017), for example, is a peopled painting, the people painted caught up in diagrams of various kinds, different kinds of heads tumbling about in a kind of implicit 'capitalism', bodiless heads, often seeming like mere masks. Different cultural horizons feel jumbled up in abstraction without any clear land or sense of actual place, in a form of cut-off media landscape of the kind he manifests onscreen in earlier paintings. This jumbled sense of a populated 'mediascape', linked to a powerfully achieved sense of painting's fundamental abstract nature and organisation, invents a colourful medley of voices and faces and talking heads. Masks act across cultural and geographic boundaries to define a sort of detached cloud cuckoo land between different 'everywheres'... there is a sense that any putatively dominant 'European Culture' is but part of the medley, sucked into a radical confusion, something old and new seen and collaged together from an alien point of view. Acting in counterpoint to this affect is the concrete and matter of fact way that he combines his work as installation within architecture, he gives it a real home of a newly created kind, installing it somewhere in the stratosphere of our warming globe, and now in actual art galleries.

I'm reminded of David Bowie's alien in *The Man Who Fell to Earth* (1976) passively watching a multitude of screens, monitoring alienated and over-populated complexity in continual hubbub. It is within such other-worldliness that these two artists connect and contextualise each other, within someno-place which is created by their work as a common ground, a common sense that is not common and doesn't really make sense... we see, even if we can't necessarily come to terms with, the velocity of a kind of unlikely deterritorialized realism.

Spencer clearly creates and provides an architecture for this deterritorialized catch all abstracted realm, while

equally clearly being caught up in its sweep himself, his 'architecture' is itself a motley invention, building on the same groundlessness that it seems intended to house and contain. In *Diagram of a City* (2016) the 'off-world' nature of this nonsite, this unreal city reduced, or rather stretched thin, to a schematic, is able to contain the Death Star from *Star Wars*. It was the blueprints of that artificial planet that created the thrust of that first *Star Wars* franchise (nostalgic) storyline... diagrams that showed its weak spot downloaded and smuggled in the hard drive of a cute little robot. Realism, in a space where whole worlds can be destroyed, is 'out there' and that is where these artists meet and collaborate, perhaps even scheme a little ahead of the game.

Let us ourselves step into this 'ahead of the game' meeting place, this groundless attempt at common ground in which sheer quantity of ragged material finds diagrammed assembly. Let's look for what it is and how its arrangements might work. First of all, as the artists themselves claim, it has an experimental nature. To me this means an ambition to escape the known rather than something scientifically grounded. Constructing a pragmatic conspiracy together out of their paintings, proposing their work as part of and defined by particular places on opposite sides of our world the artists create new populated nowhere habitats together, fictional scaffoldings that can really be experienced but that grow out of virtual necessities beyond measure and out of regressive national and cultural identities. Interiors suddenly contain fauna and decor that can be counted on out of thin air. Spencer creates a world of interiors for us to participate in, for us to experimentally inhabit. In economies where property rules as a means to shore up against the catastrophic drive to leave everything behind, the nostalgia of interior decorating has become king. This is an experimental art that works by putting us in a place of creating things and I can only suggest the need to really experience its placing, its arrival in the



### (p 10)

Steven Rendall *Psychomachy* 2017 Oil and acrylic on linen 275 × 183 cm (this page)

Benet Spencer *Diagram of a City* 2015 Oil and acrylic on canvas 160 × 200 cm

#### (overleaf)

Steven Rendall Study for Merely to breathe was enjoyment (right) 2019 Paper collage, ink, pencil, paint 30 × 21 cm



real. Putting these painters together is an act that lets their ambitions create somewhere unknown that works, that colours life in somewhere beyond our grasp. They lay out somewhere new to move into and include the neighbours that might come with. Maybe the plan is to create abstract installations where everyday chronic homesickness can be really potentially cured without looking back.

Place and people become another as yet unknown experience, diagrams indicate but painting, and its installation, are actually materially manifest – all this speculation happens in between diagram and painting, proposal and event. It's a lot to put together.

And so, together, and yet clearly an actual world, and actual time, apart, these painters let their ambitions create somewhere that works... it is up to us to go there, to join in its assembly. It is tempting to name this movement, try and fix this disjunctive synthesis that our painters have decided to work to create together. Perhaps 'Virtual Realism' might evoke the general journey Rendall and Spencer begin to take us on. The interiors that we enter along with Rendall's disassociated heads, masks, and busy screens become ever more actual, ever more liveable even if fundamentally out of time and space. He builds walls out of his paintings as travel-sickness threatens. Home is where the art is. What happens can perhaps be named a disjunctive overreaching form of sciencefiction where each artist's work collides, but this categorisation would perhaps capture and limit what's going on to yet another form of, in this case, 1950s nostalgia - that paradigmatic era of classic science-fiction and abstract 'international' painting, a formica civilisation whose limits and categorisations both painters intelligently push beyond as they pragmatically plan something real between time zones.

\*In memory of Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari.

Steven Rendall *Merely to breathe was enjoyment (right and left)* 2019 Acrylic on canvas 307 × 243 cm





Steven Rendall Study for ... when the film from the mental vision departs 2019 Paper collage, ink, pencil, paint 30 × 21 cm

(opposite) Steven Rendall ... when the film from the mental vision departs 2019 Acrylic on canvas 307 × 107 cm







Steven Rendall *Tiriel* 2018 Oil, acrylic and pencil on linen 153 × 102 cm

> (opposite) Steven Rendall *The stranger grew pale* 2019 Acrylic on canvas 174 × 96 cm





Benet Spencer *Modern House* 7 2014 Digital Inkjet print, photocopy, cardboard and pealed acrylic paint 28 × 36 cm

> (opposite) Benet Spencer *Museum Interior I* 2019 Oil and acrylic on canvas and emulsion on wall 305 × 280 cm (canvas 90 × 125 cm)

(opposite) Benet Spencer *Modern Interior I* 2017 Oil and acrylic on canvas 120 × 170 cm

(p 26) Benet Spencer *Modern Interior II* 2019 Oil and acrylic on canvas 120 × 170 cm

(p 27) Benet Spencer *Modern Interior III* 2019 Oil and acrylic on canvas 120 × 170 cm







#### Steven Rendall

Rendall's practice oscillates between representational painting and the audio-visual realm in the form of digital process based films and VHS based videos. These approaches are used to search for different ways to scavenge images and materials and disrupt the flows of images and technologies.

Steven Rendall was born in the UK in 1969. He moved to Melbourne in 2000 where he currently lives and works. He completed a Bachelor of Visual Art (Honours) at De Montfort University in Leicester (1993), undertook post-graduate studies at the Royal Academy Schools in London (1996) and completed a PhD at Monash University in 2015. He currently teaches at RMIT University.

Exhibitions include *The Moon*, Geelong Gallery (forthcoming 2019); *18 Hours and 674 Days* (2018) and *Image Capture Video Loss* (2016) at Niagara Galleries; *Running Interference* (2016) at Rubicon ARI; *Chain of Mountains* (2016) at TCB; *Data Flow* (2016) Hawthorn Town Hall Gallery; *Transmission* (2015) National Gallery of Victoria. He has had work shortlisted for *The Bayside Prize* (2019 & 2017) and *The Darebin Prize* (2017). As part of the collaboration Rendall&Spier, exhibitions include *How the Dead Live* (2009) at Conical Inc. and *The Essay* (2008) West Space. Rendall has also co-organized a number of exhibitions including *Incidents Above a Bar* (2014) at The Alderman and *Museums in the Incident* (2012) at The Monash University Gallery.

His work is in various collections in Australia and the UK including The National Gallery of Victoria, The Monash University Collection, The RMIT University Collection, Artbank, The City of Melbourne, St. Vincent's Hospital, Melbourne and St. Helier Hospital, London. His work is represented by Niagara Galleries, Melbourne.

#### Benet Spencer

Benet Spencer is a London-based artist who explores the relationship between painting and architecture, in relation to current debates around the role of painting within contemporary Fine Art practice. He works through a variety of processes, including digital imaging, collage in mixed media, and paintings made with both oil and acrylic on canvas.

Born in St Albans in 1969, Benet studied BA (hons) Fine Art at Birmingham Polytechnic and a Post Graduate Diploma in Painting at the Royal Academy Schools, London, where he graduated in 1995. He is Course Leader in BA Fine Art at Anglia Ruskin University in Cambridge.

Exhibitions include: *Phase III – le modulor*, HLM, Marseille (forthcoming 2019), Fully Awake, Edinburgh College of Art (forthcoming 2019), *Phase II: Imagining Architecture*, isdaT, Toulouse (2018), *Phase I*, Ruskin Gallery, Cambridge (2016); *P*, Horatio Junior, London (2015); *Opinion Makers*, Londonewcastle Space, London (2014); *A Union of Voices*, Horatio Junior, London (2014); *(detail)*, Usher Gallery, Lincoln University, (and touring to) Transition Gallery, London and H-Project Space, Bangkok (2014); *Pre-Production*, Occupy Your Mind Gallery / Enclave, London (2013); *Forward Thinking*, The Island Queen; London; (touring to) Glenfiddich Distillary, Dufftown, Scotland; Gairloch and Loch Ewe Action Forum, Achtercairn, Gairloch, Scotland; Horatio Junior, London (2013); *Museums in the Incident*, Faculty Gallery, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia (2012). Curatorial projects include: *Phase I: Painting, Drawing, Architecture*, (2016-present); *The Thing is the Thing*, ASC Gallery, London (2011); *Reconstructing the Old House*, Nunnery Gallery, London and Ruskin Gallery, Cambridge (2009) and *Fantasy Island*, The Metropole Galleries, Folkestone (2006).

#### Geraint Evans

Geraint Evans is an artist and lecturer based in London. He is Course Leader of MA Painting at Wimbledon College of Arts. His solo exhibitions include Newport Museum and Art Gallery; Wilkinson Gallery, London; Chapter, Cardiff and CASA, Salamanca, Spain. He has been a resident artist at the Banff Centre for the Arts, Canada and has received a *Pollock-Krasner Foundation Award* and the *Berwick Gymnasium Fellowship*.

#### Phil King

Phil King is contributing editor of Turps Banana Magazine with Marcus Harvey in London and is a mentor and lecturer on various Turps Painting Programmes, London and the Turps/PADA residency Lisbon, Portugal. He is an artist / writer included in recent shows in London, NY and Vienna, and has been writing catalogue essays and texts for peers since 1993 after his MA at Goldsmiths College. Solo exhibitions include Arcade Projects, Cardiff, and Three Works Gallery, Weymouth / Scarborough. He lives in Toulouse, France.



## Colophon

This edition first published in 2019 by Wimbledon Space on the occasion of the exhibition:

*Collision Drive 1* 15 April – 21 May 2019

Wimbledon Space Wimbledon College of Arts Merton Hall Road London SW19 3QA

020 7514 9705 wimbledon@wimbledonspace.arts.ac.uk

ISBN 978-1-9993085-3-7

All rights reserved, no part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior written consent of the copyright holders.

Design: Kes Richardson and Benet Spencer Photography: Steven Rendall, Benet Spencer and Mark Ashkanasy

The artist and Wimbledon Space would like to thank: Hannah Alton, Danny Blandy, Geraint Evans, Charlie Fegan, Phil King, Clare Mitten, Kes Richardson, Dave Shipway, Andrew Bracey, Clementine Monro, Andrew Tetzlaff.

Images of Steven Randall's paintings appear courtesy of the Niagara Galleries, Melbourne, Australia.

Collision Drive is an international project, which is being exhibited at:

Project Space Plus, University of Lincoln, UK 27 June - 18 July 2019 RMIT Project Space, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia 11 Oct - 9 Nov 2019





Anglia Ruskin Cambridge University School of Art





